

#### **AUSTRALIAN REGISTER OF HOMŒOPATHS LTD**

PO Box 1614 WOLLONGONG DC NSW 2500

Phone: 0488 060 145

Email: admin@aroh.com.au Website: www.aroh.com.au

ABN: 69 088 314 818

# AROH Statement on The Use of Homœopathic Medicines for Prophylaxis

Homœoprophylaxis (HP) refers to the use of potentised substances in a systematic manner, guided by the anticipated symptoms of the infection to be prevented. It does not refer to the methodology used to prevent further episodes of recurrent infections in an individual patient eg recurrent boils, middle ear infections, bronchitis, cystitis etc.

### The Board acknowledges that:

- although there has been positive clinical experience with the use of HP in several diseases over 200years (1 - 15), HP does not guarantee immunity from infectious disease. (Immunisation also does not guarantee immunity. 47 Page 487)
- except for the use of the Schick Test in diphtheria, there is no known way (such as serological evidence)
  to determine whether HP has provided protection to an individual, other than by observing what
  happens after contact with the disease.
- the mechanism of action of HP remains conjectural, and is probably different to that utilised by immunisation practices. No scientifically rigorous attempt has been made to compare the effects of HP with those of immunisation. Prejudice, commercial and ethical concerns, and the fact that homeopathic products are generally not patentable, impede access to funding for research into HP, and publication of the findings. Nonetheless, there is increasing scientific investigation of HP, and considering the risk and cost/benefits demonstrated to date, further controlled ethical research into its use is warranted. (16 31)
- public health authorities in Australia may lack awareness of some of this evidence and do not currently accept that there is substantial evidence of efficacy or effectiveness, and such evidence is lacking for a number of the diseases for which immunisation is available.
- doubts have been raised about the actual efficacy of some vaccines (32 34), and many people are
  concerned about the recognised and perceived sequelae of immunisation products (30 44). The
  perception that immunisation contributes to disease burden more widely than is commonly
  acknowledged, is also supported by reports of ill patients responding well to homeopathic remedies
  made from immunisation products. (45, 46)
- confusion is easily created by presentation of conflicting data (44, 47) and data collected about the
  effects of a vaccine, which has subsequently been replaced by another vaccine (eg whole-cell replaced by
  acellular pertussis vaccine, and the removal of thiomersol from some vaccines). Such data may no longer
  be applicable to currently used vaccines.
- public health authorities acknowledge that in some circumstances immunisation might have heightened risks for particular individuals. (47)
- there may be no clear answer when attempting to balance the risk of a disease, with the risk of the known and less recognised effects immunisation might have on a particular individual. HP may be a reasonable choice for the patient.
- there is a variety of views concerning HP among homœopaths. (54, 55)

Practitioners should encourage their patients, parents and appropriate adults to exercise their right to make informed decisions. Health care professionals have the responsibility to provide advice based on balanced

information gathered from a broad range of sources, or to refer to others if they feel unable to do so. For this reason, the Board recommends the following protocol to Homœopaths: -

- A. Homœopaths may supply or prescribe homœopathic medicines for prophylactic purposes only upon the request of patients in their care, with whom they have discussed the relevant issues in detail.
- B. Any displayed immunisation material must be associated with evidence not merely opinion, and should not be deceptive. In the course of consultation, homoeopaths must avoid exerting undue influence upon the patient's decision on the treatment of their choice. When asked for advice about immunisation or prophylaxis, practitioners should avoid giving directives and instead encourage their patients to inform themselves of potential options, from a wide range of sources. Practitioners must encourage patients to make their own informed decisions about their treatment choice, in the light of their own particular circumstances.
- C. Where the efficacy and / or effectiveness of HP has not been well demonstrated to be as high as that achieved with available immunisation for a specific disease considering any relevant factors (eg comparability and reliability of data sets, relevance of the conditions surrounding the data collection to those relating to the patient in question), HP should not be recommended as a substitute for immunisation, and should only be considered by the practitioner after the client has discussed immunisation with a medical practitioner, and made a carefully considered decision not to use immunisation. Any practitioners recommending HP should be prepared to support such recommendation with data (in a Court if necessary). However, a patient (after examination of the relevant information), considering risks and benefits may choose to use HP after deciding against an available immunisation, or for a disease where an immunisation is not available, and/or as part of an ethical clinical trial. In certain circumstances, however, such decisions have lead parents into legal proceedings. (56)
- D. As outlined in the 'National Competency Standards for Homoeopathy' (HLTHOM9A Provide Specific Homoeopathic Assessment and Care) practitioners are obliged to clarify their patients' expectations and the potential outcomes, and to provide information on infection control procedures, which include the NHMRC- recommended immunisations and management strategies for acute infections (47 53).
- E. Practitioners should document and date all discussions, advice and treatment with the patient. Prior to the provision of, or a prescription for homœopathic medicines for prophylactic purposes, a signed statement of the patient or appropriate adult should be obtained (sample in Appendix), indicating that he / she:
  - discussed any available immunisation option with a named medical practitioner, and reached a carefully considered decision against using that option, prior to deciding to use HP.
  - understands that HP does not guarantee immunity from infectious disease, and its protective effects are probably not evidenced in current serological tests.
  - understands that evidence for the efficacy and effectiveness (including duration of protective effect) of HP is limited, and is not accepted by public health authorities.
  - has been informed by the practitioner that there is a range of evidence and views in regard to HP.
  - has selected HP by free choice, not as a result of pressure from the practitioner.
  - is familiar with the relevant sections of the current edition of 'The Australian Immunisation Handbook', published by the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) (47) and 'Understanding Childhood Immunisation' published by Australian Govt (48).
- F. Homœopaths should comply with the legislation and reporting requirements for notifiable diseases in their jurisdiction.

### References

- Hahnemann S. Cure and Prevention of Scarlet Fever, p. 369-384. The Lesser Writings of Samuel Hahnemann republished by B. Jain Publishers, 1993, New Dehli.
   1799: Samuel Hahnemann discovered that the homeopathic remedy Belladonna could prevent infection during an epidemic of Scarlet Fever if given to people before exposure.
- 2. Dudgeon R. E. 1853. *Lectures on the Theory and Practice of Homeopathy* p.541,2. Republished by B. Jain Publishers, 2002, New Dehli.
  - Following Hahnemann's example, another eleven medical doctors prescribed Belladonna during the same epidemic. They reported that of 1,646 children exposed to scarlet fever after being given Belladonna, only 123 (7.4%) developed symptoms of infection. In contrast, the infection rate in those who did not receive the prophylactic was as high as 90%.
- 3. Hufeland. 1828. Prophylactic Power of Belladonna in Scarlet Fever, *Hufeland's Journal*.

  1838: The Prussian Government ordered the use of Belladonna during all scarlet fever epidemics after a report from their protomedicus, *Hufeland*, showed it to be an effective prophylactic.
- 4. Hahnemann S. Cure and Prevention of Asiatic Cholera, p.755, *The Lesser Writings of Samuel Hahnemann*, republished by B. Jain Publishers, 1993, New Dehli.

  Samuel Hahnemann prevented and treated cholera during the 1831 Asiatic cholera epidemic with the remedies Camphor, Cuprum metallicum and Veratrum album.
- 5. Cholera Von Boenninghausen, C. Baron. Lesser Writings.

  Dr Clemens von Boenninghausen treated and prevented cholera infections during the 1849 European epidemic with the above remedies recommended by Hahnemann. While a death rate of 54-90% occurred with conventional treatment, Boenninghausen's patients had a mortality rate of only 5-16%.
- 6. Hepatitis A Francisco Eizayaga MD. Treatise on Homeopathic Medicine published by Ediciones Maracel, Buenos Aires, Brazil, 1991 1991: When treating individuals with hepatitis, Dr Eizayaga of Brazil has noted both the curative effect of homeopathic Phosphorus and he would also give their family members and school-mates the Phosphorus prophylactic. None contracted hepatitis in spite of being in close contact.
- 7. Partington, T. Silent and Deadly: Prophylaxis and Treatment of Malaria. Homeopathy in Practice, 2006, pp. 14-19. <a href="http://homeopathyplus.com.au/malaria1.pdf">http://homeopathyplus.com.au/malaria1.pdf</a>
  2003: A Malarial Trial conducted by Assie Pittendrigh in Kenya between 2003-2005 used homeopathic Malaria co in a group of 33 volunteers. Twenty-one of the participants had experienced 1 3 malaria episodes in the 18 months prior to the trial. During the trial, one person thought he may have developed malaria but this was not verified by blood test. After a full recovery in a matter of hours, malaria was considered unlikely. All other participants in the trial remained malaria free.
- 8. Experience of Didi Ananda Ruchira treating and preventing malaria in Kenya. <a href="http://homeopathyplus.com.au/the-use-of-homeopathic-prophylaxis-and-treatment-for-malaria-in-endemicareas-of-kenya-pt2/">http://homeopathyplus.com.au/the-use-of-homeopathic-prophylaxis-and-treatment-for-malaria-in-endemicareas-of-kenya-pt2/</a>
- 9. Taylor-Smith, A. Poliomyelitis and Prophylaxis. *Br Homeopath J.* 1950 Apr;40(2):65-77. 1950: during an epidemic of poliomyelitis, Dr Taylor Smith of Johannesburg, South Africa protected 82 people with homoeopathic Lathyrus sativus. Of these 82 people, 12 came into direct contact with disease. None were infected.
- 10. Currim, A.M. Ed. 1996. *The Collected Works of Arthur Grimmer*, M.D. Norwalk and Greifenberg: Hahnemann International Institute for Homeopathic Documentation.

  Dr Grimmer of Chicago prophylactically treated 5,000 young children with Lathyrus sativus. None developed polio.
- 11. Eisfelder, HW. Poliomyelitis Immunization: A Final Report. *Journal of the American Institute of Homeopathy*. V. 54, Nov-Dec 1961, pp. 166-167.

  1956-58, Dr Heisfelder used Lathyrus sativus for prophylaxis of over 6,000 children. There were no side effects and no cases of polio reported in the group.
- 12. Francisco Eizayaga MD. *Treatise on Homeopathic Medicine* published by Ediciones Maracel, Buenos Aires, Brazil, 1991
  - 1957: A severe poliomyelitis epidemic occurred in Buenos Aires. The majority of homoeopathic doctors prescribed Lathyrus sativus as a preventative, and drug stores distributed thousands of doses to the public. None of those who used the prophylactic registered a case of contagion.
  - 1975: During another poliomyelitis epidemic in Buenos Aires, 40,000 were given the homeopathic prophylactic Lathyrus sativus. None developed poliomyelitis.
- 13. Von Boenninghausen, C. Baron. Vide Concerning the Curative Effects of Thuja in Smallpox. Lesser Writings. Mid 1800's: Clemens von Boenninghausen (1785-1864) used Thuja for both the treatment and prevention of smallpox during an epidemic. When given to uninfected family members of households with members already sick with the disease, not one of them went on to contract it.
- 14. Eaton, C.W. Variolinum. *Journal of the American Institute of Homeopathy*. 1907

#### http://www.homeoint.org/winston/variolinum.htm

- 1902: Dr Eaton reported that during a smallpox epidemic in Iowa, via various physicians a total of 2806 patients were treated prophylactically with Variolinum. Of the 547 patients definitely exposed, only 14 developed the disease. Unfortunately the infection rate amongst a control group was not provided.
- 15. Sankaran P. Prophylactics in Homoeopathy. Hom. Medical Publishers, 1971.
- 16. Marino R. Homeopathy and Collective Health: The Case of Dengue Epidemics. Int J High Dilution Res 2008; 7(25):179-185 <a href="http://www.feg.unesp.br/~ojs/index.php/ijhdr/article/viewFile/312/373">http://www.feg.unesp.br/~ojs/index.php/ijhdr/article/viewFile/312/373</a>
  In 2001, case numbers fell more than in surrounding neighborhoods after the prophylactic use of Eupatorium perfoliatum 30cH
- 17. Laila Aparecida de Souza Nunes. Contribution of homeopathy to the control of an outbreak of dengue in Macaé, Rio de Janeiro. Int J High Dilution Res 2008; 7(25):186-192

  <a href="http://www.feg.unesp.br/~ojs/index.php/ijhdr/article/viewFile/315/374">http://www.feg.unesp.br/~ojs/index.php/ijhdr/article/viewFile/315/374</a>

  In April-May 2007 a complex of Phosphorus 30, Crotalus horridus 30 & Eupatorium perfoliatum 30 was used prophylactically in a county of Rio de Janeiro. In Jan-March 2008 the disease incidence fell 93% compared with the previous year, and the incidence in the rest of Rio increased by 128%.
- 18. Chavanon, P. *La Dipterie*, 4th Ed, St Denis, Niort: Imprimerie 1952.

  1932: Laboratory experiments published by Dr Chavanon showed that 45 children became Schick test negative (indicating the presence of antibodies to diphtheria) after being treated with Diphtherinum.
- 19. Patterson, J. and Boyd, W.E. Potency Action: A Preliminary Study of the Alternation of the Schick Test by a Homeopathic Potency. *British Homeopathic Journal*. 1941; 31: pp.301-309.

  1941: The test was repeated by Drs Patterson and Boyd with 23 out of 33 children becoming Schick test negative after being given Diphtherinum.
- 20. Eizayaga. F. Tratamiento Homeopatico de las Enfermedades Agudas y Su Prevension. *Homeopatia*.1985; 51(324): pp. 352-362.
  - 1947: Dr Roux again repeated the Diphtherinum test and produced a similar result.
- 21. Krishnamurty, Report on the use of Influenzinum during the outbreak of epidemic in India in 1968. *Hahnemannian Gleanings* 1970;37:225-6.

  1968-70, a survey conducted in Indian factories and offices compared the results of allopathic (conventional) treatment and homeopathic treatment of influenza intending to determine the effectiveness of Influenzinum as a prophylactic. Almost 20 percent of the patients treated by conventional medical physicians contracted the flu. Among the homeopathically treated patients, only 6.5 percent came down with the disease. The homeopathic patients who did become ill, recovered more rapidly than their allopathically treated patients. The number of working days lost by the allopathically treated patients was nearly eight and a half times greater than those lost by homeopathic patients.
- 22. Bandyopadhyay et al. Decreased Intensity of Japanese Encephalitis Virus Infection in Chick Chorioallantoic Membrane Under Influence of Ultradiluted Belladonna Extract. *American Journal of Infectious Diseases* 6 (2): 24-28, 2010 <a href="http://homeopathyplus.com.au/belladonnastudy.pdf">http://homeopathyplus.com.au/belladonnastudy.pdf</a>
- 23. Bracho G, Varela E, Fernández R, et al. Large-scale application of highly-diluted bacteria for Leptospirosis epidemic control. Homeopathy 2010; 99: 156-166. <a href="www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20674839">www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20674839</a>
  Cuban medical researchers reported that in late 2007, their annual epidemic of Leptospirosis was prevented by homeopathy. Of the 2,500,000 people given the prophylactic, only ten developed the disease, a marked contrast to the tens of thousands normally infected each year. No lives were lost and the program was highly cost-effective in comparison to traditional and less effective vaccine programs. The protective effect continued into 2008 with an 84% reduction in leptospirosis cases for the treated area. Leptospirosis infections in untreated areas increased by 22%.
- 24. Castro, D. & Nogueira, G. G. (1975). Use of the nosode Meningococcinum as a preventative against meningitis. Journal of the American Institute of Homeopathy, 1975 Dec 68 (4), 211-219. <a href="http://www.homeopathyoz.org/downloads/Brazil%20Refs.pdf">http://www.homeopathyoz.org/downloads/Brazil%20Refs.pdf</a> 1974: During a Meningococcal A & C epidemic in Brazil, of the 18,000 children (up to 14 yrs old) were single dosed with Meningococcinum 10C, and were followed for 6mths – 7 cases contracted the infection compared with 10 cases from the 6364 unprotected children.
- 25. Mroninski C, Adriano E & Mattos G. Meningococcinum: Its protective effect against meningococcal disease. Homoeopathic Links, Vol 14 Winter 2001, 230-234 <a href="http://www.homeopathyoz.org/downloads/Brazil%20Refs.pdf">http://www.homeopathyoz.org/downloads/Brazil%20Refs.pdf</a> 1998: A larger study was conducted in Blumenau Brazil. A total of 65,826 people between the ages of 0–19 were given Meningococcinum 30CH single dose, while 23,532 were not. Over the next 6mths 1 of the medicated individuals had the disease, while 5 of the unmedicated did. Without repeating the medication, over the next 6 mths a further 2 homoeoprophylaxed individuals had the disease, while 8 of the unmedicated did. By the end of this period it was estimated that the unmedicated population had increased to 25,058. This suggests that Meningococcinum 30CH provided 92.4% protection in the first six months and 91% protection over the year against Meningococcal disease.
- 26. Carlos Lyrio et al. The use of homeopathy to prevent symptoms of human flu and acute respiratory infections: a

double blind, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial with 600 children from Brazilian Public Health Service. *Int J High Dilution Res* 2011; 10(36):174-176 <a href="http://www.feg.unesp.br/~ojs/index.php/ijhdr/article/view/499/513">http://www.feg.unesp.br/~ojs/index.php/ijhdr/article/view/499/513</a> *Nosodes of potential infecting agents or placebo were given to 600 children age 1-5yrs daily for 30 days. The incidence of respiratory symptoms over the next 12 mths was 3 times higher in the placebo group.* 

- 27. For HP research with animals see: http://www.alternativevet.org/research.htm
- 28. Camerlink et al. Homeopathy as replacement to antibiotics in the case of Escherichia coli diarrhoea in neonatal piglets. *Homeopathy* (2010) 99, 57-62 <a href="http://www.centaurea.nl/wp-content/uploads/2010/04/biggenstudie.pdf">http://www.centaurea.nl/wp-content/uploads/2010/04/biggenstudie.pdf</a> *Piglets in the placebo group had slightly over 6 times more diarrhoea than piglets treated with E coli 30K.*
- 29. Albrecht H & Schutte A Homeopathy versus antibiotics in metaphylaxis of infectious diseases: a clinical study in pig fattening and its significance to consumers. *Alternative therapies in Health & Medicine* 1999 Sep; 5(5):64-8.
- 30. Golden I. Vaccination & Homoeoprophylaxis? A Review of Risks and Alternatives. 7th edition. Gisborne: Isaac Golden Publications: 2010 <a href="http://www.homstudy.net/Research/">http://www.homstudy.net/Research/</a>
- 31. Golden I. The latest evidence supporting the safety and effectiveness of homoeoprophylaxis. *Homeopathic Heritage*. Vol.37, No.11, Feb 2012:20-23.
- 32. <a href="http://childhealthsafety.wordpress.com/graphs/">http://childhealthsafety.wordpress.com/graphs/</a>
- 33. <a href="http://articles.mercola.com/sites/articles/archive/2012/07/30/whooping-cough-vaccine.aspx">http://articles.mercola.com/sites/articles/archive/2012/07/30/whooping-cough-vaccine.aspx</a>
- 34. http://childhealthsafety.wordpress.com/2012/03/14/government-experts-cover-up-vaccine-hazards/
- 35. http://www.nhs.uk/news/2012/03march/Pages/pandemrix-swine-flu-vaccine-narcolepsy.aspx
- 36. <a href="http://www.ageofautism.com/2009/09/blockbuster-primate-study-shows-significant-harm-from-one-birthdose-of-a-mercurycontaining-vaccine.html#more">http://www.ageofautism.com/2009/09/blockbuster-primate-study-shows-significant-harm-from-one-birthdose-of-a-mercurycontaining-vaccine.html#more</a>
- 37. http://imfar.confex.com/imfar/2008/webprogram/Paper3024.html
- 38. <a href="http://www.vaccinationcouncil.org/category/d/">http://www.vaccinationcouncil.org/category/d/</a>
- **39.** <a href="http://www.vaccinationcouncil.org/2012/03/09/common-vaccine-ingredient-implicated-in-nejm-article-ascausative-in-serious-type-of-kidney-disease-by-suzanne-humphries-md/">http://www.vaccinationcouncil.org/2012/03/09/common-vaccine-ingredient-implicated-in-nejm-article-ascausative-in-serious-type-of-kidney-disease-by-suzanne-humphries-md/</a>
- 40. http://childhealthsafety.wordpress.com/2009/06/03/japvaxautism/
- 41. http://avn.org.au
- 42. http://www.ias.org.nz/vaccines/vaccines-and-the-peanut-allergy-epidemic/
- 43. http://www.vaccinationcouncil.org/2010/06/25/the-marvellous-health-of-unvaccinated-children/
- 44. Coulter, Harris & Fisher, B, 1991. A Shot in the Dark. Avery Publishers, New York
- 45. http://www.post-vaccination-syndrome.com/3891/cases.aspx
- 46. Challenging Children: Success with Homeopathy P91-96 http://hhcc.com.au/shop/#!/~/category/id=2482256&offset=0&sort=normal
- 47. http://immunise.health.gov.au/internet/immunise/publishing.nsf/Content/Handbook10-home
- 48
  - $\frac{\text{http://immunise.health.gov.au/internet/immunise/publishing.nsf/Content/A12E183FB21B71F1CA2575BD001C80F0/}{\text{File/UCI-Nov2012.pdf}}$
- 49. http://www.science.org.au/policy/documents/AAS\_Immunisation\_FINAL\_HR\_v3.pdf
- 50. http://www.australianprescriber.com/magazine/35/3/82/4
- 51. http://www.aafp.org/afp/2009/0901/p455.html
- 52. http://www.clevelandclinicmeded.com/medicalpubs/pharmacy/pdf/Pharmaco IX-VI.pdf
- 53. http://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/778177
- 54. http://www.heilkunst.com/disease.html
- 55. http://www.feg.unesp.br/~ojs/index.php/ijhdr/article/viewFile/360/407
- 56. http://www.familycourt.gov.au/wps/wcm/resources/file/ebf53103ecc5f66/2012\_FamCA\_889.pdf

## Appendix

# Homœoprophylaxis Patient Statement

Date	
the legal guardian/parent of	
hereby declare that:	
I have carefully considered relevant medical	information and discussed immunisation with a medical
practitioner Dr	, and I have decided against using immunisation in this
case for the relevant infectious diseases pric	or to considering this course of homœoprophylaxis
After discussion with	I understand:
	does not guarantee immunity from any infectious disease, and nce that proves immunity after homœopathic prophylaxis.
_	o Homœoprophylaxis (also amongst homœopaths) and evidence mited and not accepted by Australian public health authorities
NHMRC) relevant to the diseases for	f 'The Australian Immunisation Handbook' (published by the r which I am intending to use Homœoprophylaxis, and relevant ication 'Understanding Childhood Immunisation'.
I am aware of management strategies for the	ese diseases if this prophylaxis fails.
I have selected Homœoprophylaxis by free a practitioner.	and informed choice, not as a result of pressure from the
Date	
Parent/Legal Guardian	
Name	Signature
Witness	
Name	Signature